



Spiritual Well-Being Among Users and Non-Users of Psychedelics: A Cross-Sectional Study

Ana Cláudia Mesquita Garcia, Lucas Oliveira Maia, Everson Meireles, Denismar Alves Nogueira & Luís Fernando Tófoli



To cite this article: Ana Cláudia Mesquita Garcia, Lucas Oliveira Maia, Everson Meireles, Denismar Alves Nogueira & Luís Fernando Tófoli (16 Nov 2023): Spiritual Well-Being Among Users and Non-Users of Psychedelics: A Cross-Sectional Study, Journal of Psychoactive Drugs, DOI: [10.1080/02791072.2023.2284343](https://doi.org/10.1080/02791072.2023.2284343)

To link to this article: <https://doi.org/10.1080/02791072.2023.2284343>

 [View supplementary material](#) 

 Published online: 16 Nov 2023.

 [Submit your article to this journal](#) 

 [View related articles](#) 

 [View Crossmark data](#) 



Spiritual Well-Being Among Users and Non-Users of Psychedelics: A Cross-Sectional Study

Ana Cláudia Mesquita Garcia PhD ^{a,b}, Lucas Oliveira Maia PhD ^{a,b,c}, Everson Meireles PhD ^{a,d}, Denismar Alves Nogueira PhD ^{a,e}, and Luís Fernando Tófoli PhD ^b

^aInterdisciplinary Center for Studies in Palliative Care, School of Nursing, Federal University of Alfenas, Alfenas, Brazil; ^bInterdisciplinary Cooperation for Ayahuasca Research and Outreach (ICARO), School of Medical Sciences, University of Campinas, Campinas, Brazil; ^cBrain Institute, Federal University of Rio Grande do Norte, Natal, Brazil; ^dHealth Sciences Center, Federal University of Recôncavo da Bahia, Santo Antônio de Jesus, BA, Brazil; ^eInstitute of Exact Sciences, Federal University of Alfenas, Alfenas, Brazil

ABSTRACT

This study evaluated the psychometric properties of the Spiritual Well-Being Scale (SWBS) in a Brazilian sample. We analyzed spiritual well-being, defined as existential well-being (EWB) and religious well-being (RWB), among individuals with varying religious and spiritual experiences, both users and non-users of psychedelics. The online cross-sectional survey was conducted in Brazil, from April to June 2022. The psychometric analyses demonstrated reliability and validity based on the internal structure and the relationship with satisfactory external variables concerning the RWB and EWB factors of the SWBS. Validity evidence was shown for both factors (RWB, EWB) with adequate reliability ratings. However, the RWB factor, which was entirely replicated, demonstrated the best group differentiation and internal consistency. Although both factors showed validity, the RWB factor exhibited superior psychometric indices for validity, group discrimination, and reliability. Regarding psychedelics, the association with RWB and EWB demonstrates a U-shaped pattern, as participants who never use these substances typically exhibit higher RWB and EWB indices, succeeded by frequent users. This finding underscores the need for additional studies to further explore the intricate interplay between psychedelics and spiritual well-being.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 6 April 2023
Revised 01 August 2023
Accepted 29 September 2023

KEYWORDS

Spirituality; religiosity;
spiritual well-being;
psychedelics

Introduction


Measuring intangible phenomena, such as emotions, values, and intelligence, requires the development of systematic and scientific indicators (Ellison 1983). In the mid-1980s, quality of life and subjective well-being assessments emerged as more accurate alternatives to the economic indicators of the time (Ellison 1983). Recognizing that quality of life tools largely overlooked the religious dimension, and considering its impact on well-being, developed the Spiritual Well-Being Scale (SWBS) to assess religious and existential well-being (Paloutzian and Ellison, 1982; Ellison 1983).

The SWBS was devised based on the theoretical assumption that spiritual well-being encompasses both a religious and a social-psychological component, aligning with the theories of Moberg (1979), Blaikie and Kelsen (1979), and Ellison (1983). Moberg (1971) posited that spiritual well-being consists of a vertical component (a sense of well-being in relation to God) and a horizontal component (a sense of life purpose and satisfaction without religious references). Ellison

(1983) described spiritual well-being as “an underlying state of spiritual health” and an expression of it, akin to skin coloration and a heartbeat signifying good health, consequently, measurements of spiritual well-being can be likened more to a stethoscope than the heart itself.

Historical and anecdotal evidence shows that natural sources of psychedelics (such as mescaline, found in *Lophophora williamsii* - peyote cactus) have been used in religious contexts for centuries due to their potential to induce profound spiritual and mystical experiences (Lerner and Lyvers 2006). Psychedelics have been central to the spiritual practices of various cultures since ancient times (Móro et al. 2011; Podrebarac et al. 2021), often involving shamanic rituals as the traditional setting for most psychedelic use (Winkelman 2021). Presumably, because of their spirituality-related effects, psychedelic plants like peyote, ayahuasca, and *Psilocybe* mushrooms have been integral to the traditional spiritual and healing ceremonies of some Native cultures in the Americas (Lerner and Lyvers 2006; Schultes and Hofmann 1979). While recent research has focused on

CONTACT Ana Cláudia Mesquita Garcia  ana.mesquita@unifal-mg.edu.br  School of Nursing, Federal University of Alfenas (UNIFAL-MG), Gabriel Monteiro da Silva street, 700 - Centro - Alfenas/MG, Alfenas, 37130-001, Brazil

 Supplemental data for this article can be accessed online at <https://doi.org/10.1080/02791072.2023.2284343>

© 2023 Taylor & Francis Group, LLC

investigating the therapeutic potential of psychedelics, studies conducted among users suggest additional motivations for using these substances, such as self-growth and spirituality (Neitzke-Spruill; Glasser, 2018). In this context, the use of appropriate assessment tools can facilitate research on spirituality and psychedelics.

The SWBS is one of the most widely used instruments globally in clinical research for assessing the spiritual well-being construct (Monod et al. 2011), although further psychometric evaluations and larger samples are needed for increased precision (Forti, Serbena, and Scaduto 2020). The present study evaluate the psychometric properties of the SWBS in a Brazilian sample. We analyzed spiritual well-being, using constructs of existential well-being (EWB) and religious well-being (RWB), among individuals with varying religious and spiritual experiences, both users and non-users of psychedelics.

Methods

Study design, sampling, and recruitment

This is an online cross-sectional survey study conducted in Brazil, with online data collection taking place from April to June 2022.

The sample required a minimum of 200 participants (adults of any gender and health status), following the recommendation of 10 subjects per instrument item for studies investigating validity evidence based on the internal structure, obtained through factor analyses (Terwee et al., 2007). The total number of valid respondents reached 517.

Initial publicity for the study was conducted through the researchers' networks, primarily via messaging applications (WhatsApp, Telegram) and social media platforms (Instagram, Twitter). Potential participants were encouraged to share the research among their peers. Participants received a message explaining the study and a link to access an online form containing the consent form and instruments, which were all self-administered.

Measures

Participants began by completing an initial questionnaire about their age (in years), gender, level of education, professional profile, spirituality and religiosity, and psychedelic substance use.

Spiritual Well-Being Scale (SWBS)

The SWBS, developed by Paloutzian and Ellison (1982), was initially validated in Brazil by Marques, Sarriera, and Dell'aglio (2009). The scale consists of 20 questions divided

into two subscales, religious well-being (RWB, $\alpha = 0.92$) and existential well-being (EWB, $\alpha = 0.85$), which participants respond to using a six-option Likert-type scale: Totally Agree, Agree More Than Disagree, Partially Agree, Partially Disagree, Disagree More Than Agree, and Totally Disagree (Marques, Sarriera, and Dell'aglio 2009). The scores for each subscale are calculated by summing the responses to the items within them (Marques, Sarriera, and Dell'aglio 2009).

Procedures

An online form was created using the Google Forms platform and tested for usability and functionality with a group of 10 participants (who were not part of the final sample). The invitation and link to access the survey were disseminated via messaging applications and social networks. After completing the electronic consent form, participants were directed to answer the self-assessment tools. If participants chose not to participate, they were directed to a page thanking them for considering participation. Participation was considered effective only when the instruments were fully completed.

Data analysis

Initially, factor analyses were conducted to evaluate the dimensionality of the SWBS using exploratory structural equation modeling (ESEM) (Aparuhov and Muthen 2009), the robust weighted least squares method (WLSMV), and GEOMIN oblique rotation. These analyses were performed using Mplus 8 software (Muthén and Muthén 1998–2017). Parallel analyses (Horn 1965) were employed to indicate the number of factors to be extracted. The reliability of the extracted dimensions was assessed using Cronbach's alpha and composite reliability coefficients, with values above 0.7 expected (Valentini and Damásio 2016).

Subsequently, analyses were carried out to determine the extent to which participants exhibited significant differences ($p \leq .05$) in factor scores as measured by the SWBS. In cases where variables could be divided into two groups, the Mann-Whitney (M-W) test was used. For cases with three or more groups, the Kruskal-Wallis test was applied. Post hoc analyses were employed to better understand any identified differences (Hair et al. 2010).

To evaluate the predictive power of explanatory variables (sociodemographic, professional, and spirituality/religiosity-related variables) on EWB and RWB, two multiple linear regression models were adjusted using the stepwise method. The models were selected based on the lowest Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) and highest coefficient of determination. The quality of fit was also assessed by examining the

residuals, using the Shapiro-Wilk test for normality and the presence of multicollinearity by the variance inflation factor (VIF). For the analysis of the dichotomized variable “use of psychedelics” (1 = no [never/almost never], 0 = yes [occasionally/frequently]) in relation to variables related to religiosity, logistic regression with a logit link function and the forward procedure were employed for variable selection and the final model choice. The Chi-squared test and the Hosmer and Lemeshow test were used to verify model fit and quality of fit. The tests were performed at a 5% significance level.

Study procedures were approved by the Federal University of Alfnas Research Ethics Committee. All participants received written information about the research, and they provided informed written consent before participating in the study.

Results

Sample profile

The sample consisted of 517 participants, with a mean age of 38.76 years (standard deviation = 12.06; range = 19 to 76). Additional data related to the participants' profile are presented in Table 1.

Psychometric properties – dimensionality and reliability analyses of the SWBS

Parallel analysis results (Horn 1965) indicated the relevance of extracting up to two factors, equivalent to EWB and RWB (Supplementary material).

Two factorial solutions were extracted for the SWBS. For the one-dimensional model, Comparative Fit Index (CFI) = 0.88, Tucker-Lewis Index (TLI) = 0.87, and Root

Table 1. Sample profile ($n = 517$).

| Variables/Groups | n | % |
|---|-----|------|
| Gender | | |
| Female | 330 | 63.8 |
| Male | 182 | 35.2 |
| Other | 5 | 1 |
| Age group | | |
| ≤30 years | 141 | 27 |
| 31 to 40 years | 181 | 35 |
| 41 to 50 years | 105 | 20.5 |
| ≥51 years | 89 | 17.5 |
| Education | | |
| Up to High School | 30 | 5.8 |
| Undergraduate (inc./comp.) | 204 | 39.4 |
| Graduate (inc./comp.) | 283 | 54.8 |
| Is a healthcare professional or student? | | |
| Yes | 274 | 53 |
| No | 243 | 47 |
| Do you often talk about death/finitude? | | |
| Yes | 433 | 83.7 |
| No | 84 | 16.3 |
| Do you have a serious illness? | | |
| Yes | 43 | 8.3 |
| No | 474 | 91.7 |
| Religion | | |
| I have no religion, but I consider myself spiritualized | 242 | 46.8 |
| I have no religion and do not consider myself spiritualized | 61 | 12 |
| Catholic | 70 | 13.5 |
| Evangelical/Protestant | 13 | 2.5 |
| Spiritualist | 48 | 9 |
| Buddhist | 6 | 1.2 |
| Afro-Brazilian religions | 24 | 5 |
| Other* | 53 | 10 |
| Do you practice your religion? | | |
| Yes | 117 | 22.5 |
| No | 117 | 22.5 |
| Not applicable | 223 | 55 |
| How important is religion/spirituality in your life? | | |
| Nothing | 35 | 7 |
| A little | 77 | 15 |
| Important | 144 | 28 |
| Very importante | 261 | 50 |
| Use of psychedelics** | | |
| Never | 175 | 34 |
| Almost never | 56 | 11 |
| Occasionally | 112 | 21.5 |
| Frequently | 174 | 33.5 |

*Ayahuasca religions such as *Santo Daime* and ayahuasca neoshamanic groups.**LSD, ayahuasca, DMT, psilocybin-containing mushrooms, ibogaine, cannabis, MDMA, among others.

Mean Square Error of Approximation –(RMSEA) = 0.156 (95% CI = 0.15 to 0.16). For the two-dimensional model, CFI = 0.97, TLI = 0.96, RMSEA = 0.08 (95% CI = 0.07 to 0.08), and standardized root mean residual (SRMR) = 0.04. Only the two-factor model presented satisfactory fit indexes (Hair et al. 2010; Kline 2011). Table 2 summarizes the estimated parameters for this two-factor model.

The factorial matrix reproduced 90% of the configuration presented by Marques, Sarriera, and Dell’aglio (2009). Only two items were grouped differently from what was observed in that study: item 02 “I do not know who I am, where I came from, or where I am going” and item 20 “I believe that there is some true purpose to my life.” Both items, originally from Factor 2 (EWB), were included in Factor 1 (RWB) in this study. Since this failed to present theoretical plausibility and caused problems with the interpretation of Factor 1, it was decided to exclude these items from the instrument’s structure in the current study. Moreover, one item (18. “Life does not have much meaning”) had loadings higher than 0.30 in more than one factor and was interpreted in the dominant factor with the highest saturation (factor loading), which is Factor 2 (EWB).

Both factors were moderately associated ($\Phi = -0.39$), and the estimated reliability/precision for the well-being factors was adequate, with coefficients higher than the minimum indicated in the literature (RWB $\alpha = 0.94$, CC = 0.96, EWB $\alpha = 0.83$, CR = 0.85).

Comparisons of RWB and EWB between groups

Factorial scores were created based on the simple arithmetic means of the responses to the items grouped in

the SWBS factors (Supplementary Material). These scores can range from 1 to 6, and the interpretation occurs in the positive direction of the items/factors; that is, the higher the score, the higher the agreement with the content of the item/factor.

Regarding age, according to Spearman’s correlation coefficient, the higher the age, the higher the RWB ($r = 0.01$, $p = .82$) and the EWB ($r = 0.2$, $p < .001$). When comparing RWB and EWB levels between groups using sample profile variables, women scored significantly higher in religious ($m = 4.21$) and existential ($m = 4.30$) well-being compared to men ($m = 3.60$ RWB, $m = 4.05$ EWB) (Table 3). The group with lower education presented significantly higher mean scores ($m = 4.79$ RWB, $m = 4.47$ EWB) compared to the group with higher education ($m = 3.87$ RWB, $m = 4.30$ EWB). Healthcare students/professionals ($m = 4.21$ RWB, $m = 4.34$ EWB) also showed significantly higher scores on the assessed dimensions of well-being compared to other participants ($m = 3.75$ RWB, $m = 4.06$ EWB).

Those who usually talk about death/finitude scored significantly higher on the existential well-being dimension ($m = 4.26$) compared to those who do not usually discuss these topics ($M = 3.92$) (Table 3). As for psychedelics, in general, participants who never use these substances exhibited better RWB and EWB indices compared to other participants (Table 3). However, participants who used psychedelics “often” showed better RWB and EWB scores than those who used them “almost never” or “occasionally” (Table 3). Additionally, according to Spearman’s correlation, the more frequent the use of psychedelics, the lower the RWB ($r = -0.92$, $p = .037$) (there was no difference in EWB).

Table 2. Factorial matrix estimated for the SWBS ($n = 517$).

| Items | Factor 1 Religious Well-Being | Factor 2 Existential Well-Being | Correlation item-total r^2 |
|---|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 03 - I believe that God loves me and cares about me. | 0.94 | 0.13 | 0.79 |
| 19 - My relation with God contributes to my sense of well-being. | 0.93 | 0.01 | 0.84 |
| 11 - I believe that God is concerned about my problems. | 0.91 | 0.10 | 0.76 |
| 15 - My relationship with God helps me not to feel lonely. | 0.88 | -0.01 | 0.81 |
| 17 - I feel most fulfilled when I’m in close communication with God. | 0.88 | -0.04 | 0.82 |
| 07 - I have a personally meaningful relationship with God. | 0.88 | -0.04 | 0.83 |
| 01* - I don’t find much satisfaction in private prayer with God. | -0.84 | -0.01 | 0.74 |
| 05* - I believe that God is impersonal and not interested in my daily situations. | -0.76 | -0.12 | 0.59 |
| 09* - I don’t get much personal strength and support from my God. | -0.75 | 0.12 | 0.70 |
| 13* - I don’t have a personally satisfying relationship with God. | -0.66 | 0.22 | 0.65 |
| 14 - I feel good about my future. | -0.11 | -0.83 | 0.61 |
| 10 - I feel a sense of well-being about the direction my life is headed in. | 0.00 | -0.75 | 0.58 |
| 08 - I feel very fulfilled and satisfied with life. | 0.00 | -0.71 | 0.62 |
| 12* - I don’t enjoy much about life. | -0.10 | 0.70 | 0.59 |
| 06* - I feel unsettled about my future. | 0.02 | 0.65 | 0.52 |
| 04 - I feel that life is a positive experience. | 0.29 | -0.48 | 0.51 |
| 16* - I feel that life is full of conflict and unhappiness. | -0.16 | 0.48 | 0.50 |
| 18* - Life doesn’t have much meaning. | -0.44 | 0.46 | 0.54 |
| Number of items | 10 | 08 | |
| Cronbach’s Alpha (α) | 0.94 | 0.83 | |
| Composite Reliability (CR) | 0.96 | 0.85 | |

*Items inverted to calculate internal consistency/reliability scores and coefficients.

Table 3. Comparison of levels of religious and existential well-being between groups considering characterization variables.

| Variables | n | Religious Well-Being | | | | Existential Well-Being | | | |
|---|-----|----------------------|------|-------------------|---------------------|------------------------|------|--------------------|---------------------|
| | | m | sd | me [#] | p | m | sd | me [#] | p |
| <i>Gender</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| Female | 330 | 4.21 | 1.49 | 4.50 ^a | 0.001 ¹ | 4.30 | 1.01 | 4.37 ^a | 0.002 ¹ |
| Male | 182 | 3.60 | 1.61 | 3.90 ^b | | 4.05 | 1.00 | 4.25 ^b | |
| <i>Education</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| Low (Less than high school/Graduated high school) | 30 | 4.79 | 1.25 | 5.10 ^a | 0.007 ² | 4.47 | .98 | 4.37 ^a | 0.009 ² |
| Medium (Associate's degree, Bachelor's degree) | 204 | 4.04 | 1.53 | 4.35 ^b | | 4.04 | 1.07 | 4.12 ^b | |
| Higher (Advanced degree – Master's, Ph.D.) | 283 | 3.87 | 1.57 | 4.20 ^b | | 4.30 | 0.96 | 4.37 ^a | |
| <i>Health professional or student?</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 274 | 4.21 | 1.49 | 4.50 ^a | 0.001 ¹ | 4.34 | .97 | 4.50 ^a | 0.002 ¹ |
| No | 243 | 3.75 | 1.58 | 4.00 ^b | | 4.06 | 1.05 | 4.25 ^b | |
| <i>Do you often talk about death/finitude?</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 433 | 4.04 | 1.53 | 4.40 ^a | 0.084 ¹ | 4.26 | .98 | 4.38 ^a | 0.013 ¹ |
| No | 84 | 3.70 | 1.61 | 3.60 ^a | | 3.92 | 1.13 | 3.94 ^b | |
| <i>Do you use psychedelics?</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| Never | 175 | 4.37 | 1.54 | 4.80 ^a | <0.001 ² | 4.37 | .98 | 4.50 ^a | <0.001 ² |
| Almost never | 56 | 3.42 | 1.45 | 3.60 ^c | | 3.69 | 1.09 | 3.87 ^c | |
| Occasionally | 112 | 3.57 | 1.48 | 3.90 ^c | | 4.06 | 1.02 | 4.12 ^{bc} | |
| Often | 174 | 4.06 | 1.52 | 4.40 ^b | | 4.29 | 0.94 | 4.37 ^{ab} | |

m=mean, me=median, sd=standard deviation.

1 Mann-Whitney test.

2 Kruskal-Wallis test.

Median, within each variable, followed by different letters are statistically different from each other.

Significant differences were also found for both dimensions of the SWBS when groups were compared using variables related to religiosity/spirituality as criteria (Table 4). In general, participants who reported having these experiences had significantly higher RWB and EWB scores compared to those who did not report experiencing them or reported them with less intensity (Table 4). According to Spearman's correlation, the more importance a person gives to religiosity/spirituality in their life, the higher the indices of RWB ($r = 0.67, p < .001$) and EWB ($r = 0.36, p < .001$).

RWB and EWB prediction

This section highlights the significant results obtained from the estimated regression models, which aimed to

investigate the prediction of sociodemographic, professional, spirituality/religiosity-related, and psychedelic use variables on RWB and EWB. With respect to the predictive variables of RWB, it was observed that as the importance of religion and/or spirituality increased in the respondent's life, so did the levels of RWB (Table 5). A direct relationship with the presence of religion was identified, such that respondents who reported having a religion exhibited RWB levels on average 0.451 higher than those without a religion. Additionally, healthcare professionals or students demonstrated a higher mean RWB value of 0.305.

LSD usage displayed an inverse relationship with RWB, as individuals who used LSD had a scale value lower by an average of 0.272. As shown in the model, for each unit increase regarding LSD consumption (i.e., the

Table 4. Comparison between groups considering religious and spirituality variables for existential well-being and religious well-being.

| Variables | n | Religious well-being | | | | Existential well-being | | | |
|---|-----|----------------------|------|-------------------|---------------------|------------------------|------|-------------------|---------------------|
| | | m | sd | me [#] | p | m | sd | me [#] | p |
| <i>Religion</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| I have no religion, but I consider myself spiritual | 242 | 3.84 | 1.41 | 4.0 ^b | <0.001 ¹ | 4.21 | 0.97 | 4.25 ^b | <0.001 ¹ |
| I have no religion and do NOT consider myself spiritual | 61 | 1.71 | 0.89 | 1.50 ^c | | 3.38 | 1.04 | 3.50 ^c | |
| I have religion ³ | 214 | 4.81 | 1.08 | 5.00 ^a | | 4.43 | 0.93 | 4.50 ^a | |
| <i>Religious practitioner</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| No | 117 | 3.90 | 1.44 | 4.20 | <0.001 ² | 4.03 | 1.01 | 4.12 | <0.001 ² |
| Yes | 177 | 4.94 | 0.98 | 5.10 | | 4.50 | 0.90 | 4.62 | |
| Not applicable | 223 | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Importance of Religion/Spirituality in life</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| Nothing | 35 | 1.36 | 0.41 | 1.20 ^d | <0.001 ¹ | 3.44 | 1.06 | 3.62 ^d | <0.001 ¹ |
| Not much | 77 | 2.40 | 1.01 | 2.40 ^c | | 3.63 | 1.00 | 3.75 ^c | |
| Important | 144 | 3.89 | 1.18 | 4.00 ^b | | 4.16 | 0.81 | 4.25 ^b | |
| Very Important | 261 | 4.87 | 1.10 | 5.20 ^a | | 4.51 | 0.98 | 4.62 ^a | |

³Kruskal-Wallis test.

^bMann-Whitney test.

^cCatholic, Protestant, Spiritualist, Buddhist, religions of African matrix, others.

[#]Median, within each variable, followed by different letters are statistically different from each other.

more recent the consumption: never used, used once in my life, used in the last 12 months, used in the last 30 days), RWB levels decreased by 0.272 (Table 5). Concerning ayahuasca, respondents who reported having used the substance once in their lives had a mean RWB value lower by 0.575 compared to those who had not used it (it should be noted that having used ayahuasca in the past 12 months or in the past 30 days was not significant to the model).

Regarding the model proposed in Table 5 for the variables influencing EWB values, it was determined that as the importance of religion and/or spirituality increased in the participant's life, so did EWB. This table also presents 95% confidence intervals for the estimates associated with the explanatory variables. Age was also significant in explaining EWB, and for each additional year of life, there was a mean increase of 0.014 in the EWB factor, which could reach 0.04, according to the 95%CI. Individuals who reported having a serious illness had, on average, a lower EWB value of 0.473 (Table 5).

The model in Table 5 considered how religiosity-related variables (having religious affiliation, considering oneself a religious practitioner) were associated with the lifetime use of any psychedelic. According to the results, only two variables were important for the model: having a religion and considering oneself a religious practitioner. Those with a religion were less likely to use psychedelics. Being a religious practitioner significantly increased the odds of using psychedelics (regardless of the psychedelic substance).

Discussion

The results indicated the emergence of two factors in the SWBS (EWB and RWB), consistent with the findings of Paloutzian and Ellison (1982) in their study on SWBS development, as well as Marques, Sarriera, and Dell'aglio (2009), who first aimed to validate the SWBS for Brazilian culture. In our study, the two factors demonstrated a moderate association with each other, and the estimated reliability for them was adequate. In the original version of the scale, ten items measure RWB, while another ten measure EWB (Ellison 1983). The RWB factor items contain an explicit reference to God, alluding to the personal relationship with God and determining whether the experience of closeness and faith contribute to well-being, satisfaction, and the absence of loneliness (Ellison 1983; Marques, Sarriera, and Dell'aglio 2009). The EWB factor items pertain to positive expectations about the future, optimism, and feelings of purpose in life (Marques, Sarriera, and Dell'aglio 2009). In our study, the RWB component exhibited a greater capacity to differentiate between comparison groups.

In the factor analysis of the twenty items comprising the original version of the scale, using Varimax rotation on data obtained from 206 college students, the items grouped as expected into two distinct factors (Ellison 1983). All items relating to religion/religiosity loaded onto the RWB factor. Conversely, existential items emerged into two subfactors: "life guidance" and "life satisfaction" (Ellison 1983). In the study by Marques,

Table 5. Religious well-being and existential well-being adjusted multiple regression model Estimates* and adjusted model for psychedelic use as a function of religiosity-related variables**.

| Model | B | Std. Error | p | CI (95%) | |
|--|--------|------------|------|-------------|-------------|
| | | | | Lower Bound | Upper Bound |
| Religious Well-Being | | | | | |
| (Constant) | 1.028 | .317 | .001 | .404 | 1.652 |
| Importance of religion and/or spirituality in life | 1.006 | .084 | .000 | .841 | 1.171 |
| LSD use | -.272 | .078 | .001 | -.425 | -.118 |
| Having a religion | .451 | .135 | .001 | .185 | .718 |
| I have used ayahuasca once in my life | -.575 | .222 | .010 | -1.013 | -.137 |
| Is a healthcare professional or student | .305 | .119 | .011 | .070 | .540 |
| Existential Well-Being | | | | | |
| (Constant) | 2.572 | .347 | .000 | 1.889 | 3.256 |
| Importance of religion and/or spirituality in life | .362 | .080 | .000 | .204 | .520 |
| Age in years | .014 | .005 | .004 | .04 | .023 |
| Self-reported serious illness | -.473 | .202 | .020 | -.871 | -.074 |
| Adjusted model for psychedelic use as a function of religiosity-related variables | | | | | |
| Constant | .396 | .243 | .102 | | |
| Having a religion | -1.769 | .322 | .000 | .091 | .321 |
| Is a religious practitioner | 1.366 | .304 | .000 | 2.160 | 7.116 |

*In this table only the explanatory variables whose effects were significant, following data treatment through the *Stepwise* method, are presented.

***Hosmer and Lemeshow* Test $p = .350$, Chi-squared test $p < 0,001$.

Sarriera, and Dell'aglio (2009), the twenty items were also grouped into two factors (RWB and EWB), consistent with the original version of the SWBS. However, in the present study, items 02 (“I do not know who I am, where I came from, or where I am going”) and 20 (“I believe there is some true purpose to my life”), initially intended for the EWB factor, were grouped into the RWB factor. As a result, these items were excluded from the SWBS framework in this study due to the lack of theoretical justification for their reallocation, which could hinder the interpretation of the RWB factor.

The sample profile and the theoretical-religious foundation of the scale's creation may have influenced this result. In this study, most respondents (58.8%) did not have a religious belief. The SWBS is partially based on the Judeo-Christian conception of RWB, allowing its use across various religions that conceive of God in personal terms (Ellison 1983). Addressing the challenges of using such an instrument with non-religious participants, Malinakova et al. (2017) emphasize that in a highly secular environment, responses to religiously oriented instruments might be interpreted as respondents disapproving the wording of the items, as these items implicitly assume the existence of God or some form of religious belief.

Considering these observations, and based on the psychometric results obtained, it can be asserted that the SWBS serves as a reliable and suitable instrument for measuring EWB and RWB in Brazil. It differentiates groups of people according to their spiritual and religious experiences and psychedelic substance use. These results suggest evidence-based validity for the relationship between external variables and the construct (APA et al., 2014).

In this study, female participants, healthcare professionals or students, and those with less education exhibited higher levels of RWB and EWB. A study on spiritual well-being and mental health during the COVID-19 pandemic in Italy revealed that women had significantly higher levels of spiritual well-being (Coppola et al., 2021). According to the literature, the higher spiritual well-being scores among women could be attributed to their different coping strategies compared to men, and the greater compatibility of religious norms and beliefs with roles, profiles, and behaviors socially assigned to women (Hammermeister et al. 2005; Levin, Taylor, and Chatters 1994).

Healthcare professionals who are conscious of their own spirituality and possess higher levels of spiritual well-being tend to be more resilient (Meybodi and Mohammadi 2020). Concerning education, evidence

shows its association with spiritual well-being, albeit in a manner conflicting with the findings of the present study. Studies by Fradelos (2021) and Mystakidou et al. (2008) suggest that higher levels of education correspond to increased spirituality or spiritual well-being. In Tavel et al. (2021) no statistically significant differences were found concerning education and spiritual well-being.

Results reveal that spiritual well-being increases with age, and age is one of the factors contributing to higher EWB (Table 5). In the Tavel et al. (2021) study on spiritual well-being in the Czech population, older participants exhibited higher mean spiritual well-being scores compared to their younger counterparts. This may be attributed to the existence of age cohorts (successive decline in religious beliefs from older to younger age cohorts) (Hamberg 1991) or an increasing need to reevaluate one's life and seek its meaning in light of the approaching end of life (Tavel, 2004; Tavel et al. 2021).

This latter point may also relate to another current finding, that participants who frequently discuss death or finitude had significantly higher EWB scores compared to those who do not usually engage in such conversations. However, according to the model presented in Table 4, individuals who reported having a serious illness had lower EWB scores. It is known that distress and suffering can arise from confronting an existentially threatening stressor, as a serious illness can challenge core expectations related to safety, relationships with others, justice, controllability, certainty, and hope for a long and fruitful life (Vehling and Kissane 2018).

Table 4 indicates that more religious individuals have greater spiritual well-being in general. In a study aiming to validate the SWBS among the Czech population, religious participants had higher mean RWB and EWB scores than non-religious participants (Tavel et al. 2021). Moreover, significant differences in spiritual well-being and RWB were observed between two religious groups, those who attended a religious temple and those who were unaffiliated (Tavel et al. 2021). Ellison (1983) suggests a strong positive relationship between spiritual well-being and religious beliefs and practices (e.g., doctrinal beliefs, worship orientations, devotional practices), which promote a sense of personal acceptance and foster an intimate, positive communion with God and the community.

Regression analyses from this study indicated that recent LSD use and non-recent ayahuasca use, for instance, are related to lower rates of RWB. Also, as presented in Table 5, considering oneself practicing a religion increases the chance of using psychedelics. Previous studies carried out with ayahuasca users indicate that regular and frequent use is associated with

higher intrinsic religiosity and quality of life scores, in addition to better mental health outcomes (Barbosa et al. 2009; Daldegan-Bueno et al. 2022). On the other hand, controlled clinical studies evaluating the effects of psilocybin in cancer patients found that a single administration of the substance produced improvements in parameters of spirituality and spiritual well-being (Agin-Liebes et al. 2020; Griffiths et al., 2016; Ross et al., 2016). In a review on psychedelics and their relationship with positive psychology and healthy human functioning and well-being, preliminary evidence was found that psychedelics can support and enhance psychospiritual practices (Jungaberle et al. 2018), for example, through improved levels of self-transcendence (Bouso et al. 2012), the possibility of mystical experiences, and ego dissolution (Barrett and Griffiths 2017; Griffiths et al. 2006).

Despite the literature highlighting the positive relationship between psychedelics and religiosity/spirituality, our study generally found that participants who never used these substances exhibited better RWB and EWB indices compared to other participants. In Lerner and Lyvers (2006) psychedelic users scored significantly higher on mystical beliefs (e.g., unity with God and the universe), life values, spirituality, and concern for others compared to other groups (non-psychedelic users, such as cannabis and amphetamines, and users of non-illicit social substances). It is possible that non-pharmacological factors (set and setting) play a significant role in the outcomes observed in each condition (Hartogsohn 2016, 2017). Factors such as motivations, intentions, expectations, and preparation for psychedelic use may vary considerably between sporadic (“recreational”), regular (ritualistic/religious use), or clinical trial participants. Furthermore, factors related to the physical and social settings associated with each type of use may also influence the experience and its outcomes (Perkins et al. 2021; Pontual et al. 2022; Studerus et al. 2012). However, studies evaluating the effects of psychedelics on spiritual well-being parameters are limited, leaving a gap in data clarifying the role of pharmacological and non-pharmacological factors on the assessed outcomes.

Since this is a study using self-report measures, it is not possible to establish cause and effect relationships among the investigated variables. The fact that an instrument for the evaluation of spiritual well-being was developed based on a Judeo-Christian conception of religious well-being and that the sample was mostly non-religious can be considered a limitation. The process of online data collection based originally on the personal contacts of researchers warrant caution in extrapolating results to the general population of Brazil.

Study results suggesting an association between lower scores in EWB and RWB and psychedelic users may be influenced by sample selection. It is possible that these users participating in this study were using psychedelics precisely to enhance or enrich a sense of spiritual and religious well-being, which may already be naturally low. In psychiatric research, for instance, it's observed that while individuals on antidepressants may exhibit higher depression rates, it's incorrect to infer that these medications cause increased depression. Therefore, we cannot conclude that the use of LSD/Ayahuasca is in any way degrading or diminishing the individual's EWB and RWB. Further research is needed for a better understanding of these matters.

Conclusion

The psychometric analyses demonstrated reliability and validity evidence based on the internal structure and the relationship with satisfactory external variables concerning the RWB and EWB factors of the spiritual well-being scale used. Validity evidence was shown for both factors (RWB and EWB) with adequate reliability ratings. However, the RWB factor, which was replicated entirely, demonstrated the best group differentiation and internal consistency. Although both factors showed validity evidence, the RWB factor exhibited superior psychometric indices for validity, group discrimination, and reliability.

Regarding psychedelics, the association with RWB and EWB demonstrates a U-shaped pattern, as participants who never use these substances typically exhibit higher RWB and EWB indices, followed by frequent users. This finding underscores the need for additional studies to further explore the intricate interplay between psychedelics and spiritual well-being.


Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the author(s).




Funding

The authors reported there is no funding associated with the work featured in this article.

ORCID

Ana Cláudia Mesquita Garcia PhD  <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9793-7905>

Lucas Oliveira Maia PhD  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9931-1938>

Everson Meireles PhD  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1715-006X>
 Denismar Alves Nogueira PhD  <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2285-8764>
 Luís Fernando Tófoli PhD  <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2262-8272>

References

- Agin-Liebes, G. I., T. Malone, M. M. Yalch, S. E. Mennenga, K. L. Ponté, J. Guss, A. P. Bossis, J. Grigsby, S. Fischer, S. Ross, et al. 2020. Long-term follow-up of psilocybin-assisted psychotherapy for psychiatric and existential distress in patients with life-threatening cancer. *Journal of Psychopharmacology*. 34(2):155–66. doi:10.1177/0269881119897615.
- American Educational Research Association, American Psychological Association, and National Council on Measurement in Education. 2014. *Standards for educational and psychological testing*. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
- Aparuhov, T., and B. Muthen. 2009. Exploratory Structural Equation Modeling. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal* 16 (3):397–438. doi:10.1080/10705510903008204.
- Barbosa, P. C., I. M. Cazorla, J. S. Giglio, and R. Strassman. 2009. A six-month prospective evaluation of personality traits, psychiatric symptoms and quality of life in ayahuasca-naïve subjects. *Journal of Psychoactive Drugs* 41 (3):205–12. doi:10.1080/02791072.2009.10400530.
- Barrett, F. S., and R. R. Griffiths. 2017. Classic hallucinogens and mystical experiences: Phenomenology and neural correlates. *Behavioral Neurobiology of Psychedelic Drugs* 36: 393–430.
- Blaikie, N. W. H. and G. P. Kelsen. 1979. Locating self and giving meaning to existence: A typology of paths to spiritual well-being based on new religious movements in Australia. In *Spiritual well-being: Sociological perspectives*, ed. Moberg D. O, 133–51. Washington, DC: University Press of America.
- Bouso, J. C., D. González, S. Fondevila, M. Cutchet, X. Fernández, P. C. Ribeiro Barbosa, M. Á. Alcázar-Córcoles, W. S. Araújo, M. J. Barbanoj, J. M. Fábregas et al. 2012. Personality, psychopathology, life attitudes and neuropsychological performance among ritual users of Ayahuasca: A longitudinal study. *PLoS One*. 7(8): e42421. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0042421.
- Coppola, I., N. Rania, R. Parisi, and F. Lagomarsino. 2021. Spiritual well-being and mental health during the COVID-19 pandemic in Italy. *Frontiers in Psychiatry* 12:626944. doi:10.3389/fpsy.2021.626944.
- Daldegan-Bueno, D., D. Révész, P. R. Morais, P. Barbosa, and L. O. Maia. 2022. Psychosocial and drug use assessment of regular vs. Non-regular ayahuasca users in a Brazilian sample: A web-based survey. *Substance Use & Misuse* 57 (7):1072–81. doi:10.1080/10826084.2022.2063896.
- Ellison, C. W. 1983. Spiritual well-being: Conceptualization and measurement. *Journal of Psychology and Theology* 11 (4):330–40. doi:10.1177/009164718301100406.
- Forti, S., C. A. Serbena, and A. A. Scaduto. 2020. Mensuração da espiritualidade/religiosidade em saúde no Brasil: uma revisão sistemática [Spirituality/religiosity measurement and health in Brazil: a systematic review]. *Ciencia & saude coletiva* 25 (4):1463–74. doi:10.1590/1413-81232020254.21672018.
- Fradelos, E. C. 2021. Spiritual well-being and associated factors in end-stage renal disease. *ScientificWorldJournal* 2021 Published 2021 Apr 30:6636854. doi:10.1155/2021/6636854
- Griffiths, R. R., M. W. Johnson, M. A. Carducci, A. Umbricht, W. A. Richards, B. D. Richards, M. P. Cosimano, and M. A. Klinedinst. 2016. Psilocybin produces substantial and sustained decreases in depression and anxiety in patients with life-threatening cancer: A randomized double-blind trial. *Journal of Psychopharmacology* 30:1181–97. doi:10.1177/0269881116675513.
- Griffiths, R. R., W. A. Richards, U. McCann, and R. Jesse. 2006. Psilocybin can occasion mystical-type experiences having substantial and sustained personal meaning and spiritual significance. *Psychopharmacology* 187 (3):268–92. doi:10.1007/s00213-006-0457-5.
- Hair, J. F., Jr., W. C. Black, B. J. Babin, and R. E. Anderson. 2010. *Multivariate data analysis*. 7th ed. New Jersey: Pearson Education International.
- Hamberg, E. M. 1991. Stability and change in religious beliefs, practice, and attitudes — a Swedish panel study. *Journal for the Scientific Study of Religion* 30 (1):63–80. doi:10.2307/1387149.
- Hammermeister, J., M. Flint, A. Alayli, A. Ridnour, and M. Peterson. 2005. Gender differences in spiritual well-being: Are females more spiritually well than males? *American Journal of Health Studies* 20:80–84.
- Hartogsohn, I. 2016. Set and setting, psychedelics and the placebo response: An extra-pharmacological perspective on psychopharmacology. *Journal of Psychopharmacology* 30 (12):1259–67. doi:10.1177/0269881116677852.
- Hartogsohn, I. 2017. Constructing drug effects: A history of set and setting. *Drug Science, Policy and Law* 3:2050324516683325. doi:10.1177/2050324516683325.
- Horn, J. L. 1965. A rationale and technique for estimating the number of factors in factor analysis. *Psychometrika* 30 (1):179–85. doi:10.1007/BF02289447.
- Jungaberle, H. S. Thal, A., Zeuch, Rougemont-Bücking, A., von Heyden, M., Aicher, H., Scheidegger, M. 2018. Positive psychology in the investigation of psychedelics and entactogens: A critical review. *Neuropharmacology* 142:179–99. doi:10.1016/j.neuropharm.2018.06.034.
- Kline, R. B. 2011. *Principles and practice of structural equation modeling*. 3th. New York, London: The Guilford Press
- Lerner, M., and M. Lyvers. 2006. Values and beliefs of psychedelic drug users: A cross-cultural study. *Journal of Psychoactive Drugs* 38 (2):143–47. doi:10.1080/02791072.2006.10399838.
- Levin, J. S., R. J. Taylor, and L. M. Chatters. 1994. Race and gender differences in religiosity among older adults: Findings from four national surveys. *Journal of Gerontology* 49 (3):137–45. doi:10.1093/geronj/49.3.S137.
- Malinakova, K., J. Kopicakova, P. Kolarcik, A. Madarasova Geckova, I. P. Polackova Solcova, V. Husek, and P. Tavel. 2017. The spiritual well-being scale: Psychometric evaluation of the shortened version in Czech adolescents. *Journal of Religion and Health* 56 (2):697–705. doi:10.1007/s10943-016-0318-4.

- Marques, L. F., J. C. Sarriera, and D. D. Dell'aglio. 2009. Adaptação e validação da Escala de Bem-estar Espiritual (EBE): Adaptation and validation of Spiritual Well-Being Scale (SWS). *Avaliação Psicológica* [Internet] 2009 Ago [citado 2023 Jan 31] 8 (2):179–86.
- Meybodi, A., and M. Mohammadi. 2020. Identifying the components of spirituality affecting the resilience of nurses. *Journal of Nursing Management* 5:1–7. doi:10.1111/jonm.13235.
- Moberg, D. O. 1971. *Spiritual well-being: Background and issues*. Washington, White House Conference on Aging.
- Moberg, D. O. 1979. *Spiritual well-being: Sociological Perspectives*. Washington: University Press of America.
- Monod, S., M. Brennan, E. Rochat, E. Martin, S. Rochat, and C. J. Büla. 2011. Instruments measuring spirituality in clinical research: A systematic review. *Journal of General Internal Medicine* 26 (11):1345–57. doi:10.1007/s11606-011-1769-7.
- Móro, L., K. Simon, I. Bárd, and J. Rác. 2011. Voice of the psychonauts: Coping, life purpose, and spirituality in psychedelic drug users. *Journal of Psychoactive Drugs* 43 (3):188–98. doi:10.1080/02791072.2011.605661.
- Muthén, L. K., and B. O. Muthén. 1998-2017. *Mplus User's Guide*. 8th ed. Los Angeles: Muthén & Muthén.
- Mystakidou, K., E. Tsilika, E. Parpa, I. Hatzipli, M. Smyrnioti, A. Galanos, and L. Vlahos. 2008. Demographic and clinical predictors of spirituality in advanced cancer patients: A randomized control study. *Journal of Clinical Nursing* 17 (13):1779–85. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2702.2008.02327.x.
- Neitzke-Spruill, L. and C. Glasser. 2018. A gratuitous grace: The Influence of Religious Set and intent on the psychedelic experience. *Journal of Psychoactive Drugs* 50:314–21. doi:10.1080/02791072.2018.1494869.
- Paloutzian, R. F., Ellison, C. W. 1982. Loneliness, spiritual well-being and the quality of life Peplau, L., Perlman, D. Loneliness: A sourcebook of current theory, research and therapy, 214–235, Wiley-Interscience: New York.
- Perkins, D., V. Schubert, H. Simonová, L. F. Tófoli, J. C. Bouso, M. Horák, N. L. Galvão-Coelho, and J. Sarris. 2021. Influence of context and setting on the mental health and wellbeing outcomes of ayahuasca drinkers: Results of a large international survey. *Frontiers in Pharmacology* 12:623979. doi:10.3389/fphar.2021.623979.
- Podrebarac, S. K., K. C. O'Donnell, S. E. Mennenga, L. T. Owens, T. C. Malone, J. H. Duane, and M. P. Bogenschutz. 2021. Spiritual experiences in psychedelic-assisted psychotherapy: Case reports of communion with the divine, the departed, and saints in research using psilocybin for the treatment of alcohol dependence. *Spirituality in Clinical Practice* 8 (3):177–87. doi:10.1037/scp0000242.
- Pontual, A., L. F. Tófoli, C. M. Corradi-Webster, K. van Oorsouw, A. Delgado, and J. G. Ramaekers. 2022. The influence of ceremonial settings on mystical and challenging experiences occasioned by ayahuasca: A survey among ritualistic and religious ayahuasca users. *Frontiers in Psychology* 13:857372. doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2022.857372.
- Ross, S. A. Bossis, J. Guss, G. Agin-Liebes, T. Malone, B. Cohen, S. E. Mennenga, A. Belser, K. Kalliontzi, J. Babb, et al. 2016. Rapid and sustained symptom reduction following psilocybin treatment for anxiety and depression in patients with life-threatening cancer: A randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Psychopharmacology* 30:1165–80. doi:10.1177/0269881116675512.
- Schultes, R. E., and A. Hofmann. 1979. *Plants of the gods: Origins of hallucinogenic use*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Studerus, E., A. Gamma, M. Kometer, F. X. Vollenweider, and M. Mazza. 2012. Prediction of psilocybin response in healthy volunteers. *PloS One* 7 (2):e30800. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0030800.
- Tavel, P. 2004. The need of the sense of life (theses to the notion of 'Wille zurn sinn' by Viktor Frankl). *Filozofia* 59:571–79.
- Tavel, P., J. Sandora, J. Furstova, A. Lacev, V. Husek, Z. Puzova, I. Polackova Solcova, and K. Malinakova. 2021. Czech version of the spiritual well-being scale: Evaluation and psychometric properties. *Psychological Reports* 124 (1):366–81. doi:10.1177/0033294119898117.
- Terwee, C. B., S. D. Bot, M. R. de Boer, D. A. van der Windt, D. L. Knol, J. Dekker, L. M. Bouter, and H. C. de Vet. 2007. Quality criteria were proposed for measurement properties of health status questionnaires. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology* 60:34–42. doi:10.1016/j.jclinepi.2006.03.012.
- Valentini, F., and B. F. Damásio. 2016. Variância Média Extraída e Confiabilidade Composta: Indicadores de Precisão. *Psicologia: Teoria e Pesquisa* 32 (2). doi:10.1590/0102-3772e322225.
- Vehling, S., and D. W. Kissane. 2018. Existential distress in cancer: Alleviating suffering from fundamental loss and change. *Psychooncology* 27 (11):2525–30. doi:10.1002/pon.4872.
- Winkelman, M. J. 2021. Anthropology, shamanism, and hallucinogens. In *Handbook of medical hallucinogens*, ed. C. S. Grob and J. Grigsby, 46–67. New York: The Guilford Press.